1 Defendant, Jason Cardiff contends that his Fourth Amendment right against 2 illegal search and seizure were violated when he was arrested on January 14, 2025 3 by the Garda in Dublin Ireland. Defendant contends that the arrest by the Garda 4 was at the direction of the prosecutors in this case and that the arrest violated his 5 Fourth Amendment rights and interfered with and/or violated this Court's Order of 6 December 20, 2025 authorizing Mr. Cardiff to travel to Ireland. Defendant requests 7 this Court to issue an order that the Government: (1) produce records relating to Mr. 8 Cardiff's arrest by the Garda in Dublin Ireland on January 14, 2025 despite the fact 9 that this Court issued an order authorizing Mr. Cardiff to be in Dublin, Ireland; (2) 10 provide a full report of why an arrest was made by Garda and the Government's 11 participation either directing or authorizing the arrest; and (3) determine if a 12 restraining order should be issued against further government action. 13 The Court finds that Jason Cardiff was granted bond by this Court on _____ 14 2023. The Court granted Mr. Cardiff international travel to Dublin. Ireland after his 15 wife reportedly suffered a heart attack. Mr. Cardiff returned to the United States. 16 The Court granted another order on ____ allowing Mr. Cardiff to travel overseas to 17 Ireland. During that time period, Mr. Cardiff suffered serious health problems 18 which were reported to the Court and supported by medical records. Mr. Cardiff

Ireland. During that time period, Mr. Cardiff suffered serious health problems which were reported to the Court and supported by medical records. Mr. Cardiff was granted extensions to have his physicians in Ireland evaluate and treat his illness. On December 20, 2024, the Court granted an extension for Mr. Cardiff's overseas travel until January 19, 2025. Dkt. ___

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On January 14, 2025, however, the Irish police authorities (the "Garda") arrested Mr. Cardiff on an extradition warrant reportedly issued in October 2023. Detective Sergeant Murray of the Garda told Mr. Cardiff that he was contacted by the Embassy in Dublin to execute the extradition warrant on January 13, 2014, a day after counsel for Mr. Cardiff asked the Court to extend Mr. Cardiff's travel in Ireland or to modify the bond conditions for a longer time period to continue treatment. Despite the Court's December 20, 2024 Order authorizing Mr. Cardiff to

be in Dublin, the Garda gave Mr. Cardiff the choice to either come with them and be placed in detention or turn over his passport and his wife's passport. Mr. Cardiff gave the Cardiffs the passports.

On January 14, 2025, Counsel for Defendant immediately asked for a copy of the extradition file and asked Government counsel to explain why Mr. Cardiff was arrested on a thirteen-month extradition warrant when he was authorized by this Court to be in Dublin Ireland. On January 15, 2025, Mr. Cardiff was notified by the United States Embassy in Dublin that the extradition file was still open but that the embassy would inform him of any changes.

Despite a number of requests for an explanation, Government counsel refused to provide any information about Mr. Cardiffs arrest. Mr. Cardiff contends that the Government prosecutors directed the Garda to arrest Mr. Cardiff on the extradition warrant after learning that Mr. Cardiff was asking to remain in Dublin for a three-to-four month treatment plan. In sum, defendant contends that the Government decided to interfere with this Court's December 20, 2024 Order.

At this stage of the proceedings, the Government's refusal to share any information or to explain why Mr. Cardiff was arrested on January 14, 2025 supports a conclusion that the Government prosecutors, for whatever reason, decided to take matters into their own hands and have Mr. Cardiff arrested despite the fact that this Court authorized him to travel to Dublin. The Court further finds that his arrest, and the seizure of his passport (and his wife's passport) violated Mr. Cardiff's Fourth Amendment rights. The Court further finds that the Government should be restrained from further violations of Mr. Cardiff's rights under the Fourth Amendment and that no action may be taken against Mr. Cardiff unless first authorized by this Court.

The Court finds that the Extradition file should be produced to Defendant's counsel forthwith and that the Government prosecutors in this case should explain why Mr. Cardiff was arrested by the Garda on January 14, 2025 or face sanctions.

Based on its findings, the Court finds that Defendant has satisfied the 1 2 requirements for injunctive relief restraining the Government from taking any 3 further action to arrest or detain Mr. Cardiff. GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 4 5 the Government shall produce the extradition file and communications by and between government agencies (the U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of 6 7 State, Federal Trade Commission, U.S. Postal Service and the U.S. Attorneys Office 8 relating to execution of an arrest of Jason Cardiff on January 14, 2025 by police 9 officials in Dublin Ireland. The documents to be produced include but are not 10 limited GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 11 12 that the Government shall provide a full report under oath explaining its knowledge 13 and/or participation in directing the arrest of Mr. Cardiff on January 14, 2025 within 14 three days of this Order. The Court will then decide whether injunctive relief should 15 be granted. 16 17 Dated: 18 Honorable Jesus G. Bernal 19 United States District Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

4